

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,581	02/06/2001		Eyal Lichtman	2681/01247	4780	
25937	7590	09/03/2004	•	EXAMINER		
		OCIATES PC	LI, SHI K			
8753 W. RUNION DR. PEORIA, AZ 85382-6412				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1 Dolars, 11	_ 00002	· · · -		2633		

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>I</i> X1
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	AR
• "	09/777,581	LICHTMAN ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shi K. Li	2633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, maply within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	by a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this come and the come are also be	r. mmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	<i>lune 2004</i> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal n	natters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-42</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	re: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abe ction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in the have been received in the have been received.	n Application No een received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	n-152)

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species II in the reply filed on 16 June 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-20 are withdrawn as being directed to non-elected invention. The election requirement is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 21-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admission (admitted prior art) in view of Archambault (U.S. Patent 6,476,945 B1) and Barnard (U.S. Patent 6,616,348 B1).

Regarding claims 21, 31 and 41, FIG. 2 (prior art) of the instant application discloses an apparatus for MAC based transmission in a WDM optical network. FIG. 2 (prior at) comprises OADM 32 with a first drop module 34 for dropping a first channel from a first fiber ring and a first add module 36 for adding a second channel to the first fiber ring, a second OADM 38 with a second drop module 40 for dropping a third channel from a second fiber ring and a second add module 42 for adding a fourth channel to the second fiber ring, a first MAC module with a first transmitter for providing said second channel and a receiver for receiving the first channel, a second MAC module with

Art Unit: 2633

a transmitter for providing said fourth channel and a receiver for receiving said third channel. The difference between admission (FIG. 2) and the claimed invention is the OADMs and MAC modules add/drop to/from the same fiber ring. Archambault teaches in FIG. 1 and bi-directional communication between two nodes should be routed using the short route. For example, traffic between node n and node n-1 should be routed using the span between node n and node n-1 and should not use the long route that pass through node 1, node 2, ..., node n-2. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Archambault with admission because this approach accommodates more traffic. Based on the teaching of Archambault, a MAC module should transmit to one fiber ring and receive from the other fiber ring. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the MAC module so that it transmits to one fiber and receiver from the other fiber, as taught by Archambault, in the apparatus of FIG. 2 (prior art) because this approach accommodates more traffic.

Barnard teaches in FIG. 1 to organize the add modules and drop modules so that modules for fibers to/from the east direction (left-hand side) are organized as OADM1 and modules for fibers to/from the west direction (right-hand side) are organized as OADM2. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Barnard with the modified apparatus admission and Archambault because if a OADM needs to be replaced, it only affects part of the traffic in the configuration of Barnard while a remove of a OADM in the modified arrangement of admission and Archambault affects all traffic. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to organize the OADM such that modules for fibers to/from the east direction (left-hand side) are organized as one OADM and

Art Unit: 2633

modules for fibers to/from the west direction (right-hand side) are organized as the other OADM, as taught by Barnard, in the modified arrangement of admission and Archambault because such arrangement is more reliable.

Regarding claims 22 and 40, admission (FIG. 2) teaches OADM 32.

Regarding claims 23-25 and 32-34, it is well known in the art that channel of same wavelength or different wavelengths can be used for bi-directional traffic between two nodes and for working and protection channels in different fibers.

Regarding claims 26-29 and 35-38, admission (FIG. 2) teaches Ethernet switch 66 connected to MAC modules 60 and 49.

Regarding claims 30 and 39, admission (FIG. 2) includes node B with arrangement similar to node A.

Regarding claims 42, admission (FIG. 2) includes node B with arrangement similar to node A. When the add module of OADM 32 and the drop module of the OADM in node B are tuned to the same wavelength, signal transmitted by transmitter 62 is receiver by MAC module in node B. Similarly, receiver 64 of node A receives signal transmitted by MAC module of node B. Note that in the modified network of admission, Archambault and Barnard, traffic from node A to node B is carried by fiber 46 and traffic from node B to node A is carried by fiber 48 because they are the shortest routes between node A and node B.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shi K. Li whose telephone number is 571 272-3031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.).

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

skl

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600